arXiv:math/9911116v1 [math.AG] 16 Nov 1999

Direct images in non-archimedean Arakelov theory

H. Gillet and C. Soulé

In this paper we develop a formalism of direct images for metrized vector bundles in the context of the non-archimedean Arakelov theory introduced in our joint work [BGS] with S. Bloch, and we prove a Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck theorem for this direct image. The new ingredient in the construction of the direct image is a non archimedean "analytic torsion current".

Let K be the fraction field of a discrete valuation ring Λ, and X a smooth projective variety over K. In [BGS] we defined the codimension p arithmetic Chow group of X as the inductive limit

$$\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X) = \varinjlim \mathrm{CH}^p(\mathcal{X})$$

of the Chow groups of the models $\mathcal{X}$ of X over Λ. Assuming resolution of singularities (cf. 1.1 below) we proved that these groups can also be defined as rational equivalence classes of pairs (Z, g), where Z is a codimension p cycle on X, and g is a "Green current" for Z. Here a "current" is a projective system of cycle classes on the special fibers of all possible models of X. We have shown in [BGS] that many concepts and results in complex geometry and arithmetic intersection theory [GS1] have analogs in this context: differential forms, $\partial\overline{\partial}$-lemma, Poincaré-Lelong formula, intersection product, inverse and direct image maps in arithmetic Chow groups etc.

On the other hand, we defined a metrized vector bundle on X to be a bundle E on X, together with a bundle $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ on some model $\mathcal{X}$ of X which restricts to E on X. The theory of characteristic classes (resp. Bott-Chern secondary characteristic classes) for hermitian vector bundles on arithmetic varieties [GS2] is replaced here by characteristic classes with values in the Chow groups of $\mathcal{X}$ (resp. the Chow groups of $\mathcal{X}$ with supports in its special fiber) ([BGS], (1.9), and § 2 below). These classes are contravariant for maps of varieties over K.

However, we were not able in [BGS] to define direct images of metrized vector bundles. Recall that in Arakelov geometry, if $f : X \to Y$ is a map of varieties over $\mathbb{Z}$ which is smooth on the set of complex points of X, and if $\overline{E}$ is an hermitian vector bundle on X, once we choose a metric on Tf, the L^2-metric on the determinant line bundle $det(Rf_* E)$ need *not* be smooth in general. For this reason, following an idea of Quillen [Q], one is led to modify

The first author is supported by NSF grant DMS-9801219

the L^2-metric on the determinant line bundle by multiplying it by the Ray-Singer analytic torsion of the Dolbeault complex, which results in a smooth metric. One of the key features of the Quillen metric, is that it gives a Riemann-Roch formula for the first Chern class of the determinant line bundle which is an equality of forms. More generally, if one chooses a complex of vector bundles $F.$, a quasi-isomorphism $F. \to Rf_*E$ and hermitian metrics on all the F_i's, one can define a form θ on the complex points of Y, called the higher analytic torsion ([GS3], [BK]), which is well defined up to boundaries, and such that $-dd^c(\theta)$ is equal to the difference between the Chern character form of $F.$ and the direct image of the product of the Chern character form of $\overline{E}$ with the Todd form of Tf. This form θ is the key ingredient when defining direct images for the "arithmetic Grothendieck groups" [GS2] [GS3].

In the non-archimedean case we face a similar difficulty. Assume $f : X \to Y$ is a morphism of projective varieties over K, induced by a map of models $\underline{f} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$. Let $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ be a vector bundle on $\mathcal{X}$, with restriction E to X. A natural candidate for a (non-archimeadean) metric on $Rf_*\,E$ is then the complex of vector bundles $R\underline{f}_*\,E_{\mathcal{X}}$ on $\mathcal{Y}$. But this choice will *not*, in general, be compatible with changes of models for both X and Y. Indeed, consider a commutative diagram of models

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}' & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{X} \\ {\scriptstyle \underline{f}'}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \underline{f}} \\ \mathcal{Y}' & \xrightarrow[p]{} & \mathcal{Y} \end{array}$$

where both $\underline{f}$ and $\underline{f}'$ induce $f : X \to Y$. The canonical map

$$Lp^*\, R\underline{f}_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}} \to R\underline{f}'_*\, L\pi^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}$$

need not be an isomorphism. We are led to use the Chern character with supports of the cone of this map to define the $\mathcal{Y}'$-component $\theta_{\mathcal{Y}'}$ of the "higher analytic torsion" θ, which is a current on Y (see (50) and Prop. 4 for a precise definition).

We then define a Grothendieck group $\overset{\vee}{K}_0(X)$, generated by triples (E, h, η) where E is a bundle on X, h is a metric on E and η is a sum of currents of all degrees on X. The relations in $\overset{\vee}{K}_0(X)$ come from exact sequences of vector bundles on X (§ 2.6, (37)). By imposing that η be smooth (i.e. η consists of an inductive system of cycle classes and not only a projective one, see [BGS] and 1.2. below), we also define a subgroup

$\widehat{K}_0(X) \subset \overset{\vee}{K}_0(X)$. Now let $f : X \to Y$ be any morphism, and choose a metric on the relative tangent complex Tf. We attach to these data a direct image morphism

$$f_* : \overset{\vee}{K}_0(X) \to \overset{\vee}{K}_0(Y)\,.$$

If $\underline{f} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is a map of models inducing f, if E is a bundle on X, if the metric h on E (resp. the metric on Tf) is defined by a bundle $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ (resp. by $T\underline{f}$), and if $R^q\underline{f}_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}} = 0$, $q > 0$, the direct image $f_*(E, h, 0)$ is the class of $(f_*\, E, \underline{f}_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}, \theta)$, where θ is the higher analytic torsion of $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ (Prop. 4, Th. 1).

When f is flat, f_* maps $\widehat{K}_0(X)$ into $\widehat{K}_0(Y)$ and a Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck theorem holds for Chern characters with values in $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^*\otimes\mathbb{Q}$ (Th. 1, i) and Th. 2, ii)). This is not so surprising, as it follows from the definition of θ and the Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck theorem with values in Chow groups of projective schemes over Λ. What is more involved is, first, to show that the family $\theta = (\theta_{\mathcal{Y}'})$ does define a current on Y (a form when f is flat) (Prop. 4) and, second, to check that the expected anomaly formulae for the change of metrics on either E or Tf are true in our case (Th. 1, (64) and (66)). These facts rely upon the vanishing of the direct image of the relative Todd class with support of birational maps (Prop.3 ii)). This key lemma is itself a consequence of the proof by Franke of a refined Riemann-Roch formula conjectured by Saito (this proof of Franke [Fr] remains unfortunately unpublished); we also give an alternative proof for birational maps for which the strong factorization conjecture holds. Finally we prove that our direct image is such that $(gf)_* = g_*f_*$ and that a projection formula is valid (Th. 2, i) and iii)).

The paper is organized as follows. In § 1 we review the arithmetic intersection theory of [BGS], and we also introduce a group $\overset{\vee}{\mathrm{CH}}{}^p(X)$ containing $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X)$ which is always covariant (this definition was inspired by similar definitions by Burgos [B] and Zha [Z]). In § 2 we develop the theory of secondary characteristic classes for metrized complexes of vector bundles and we define arithmetic Grothendieck groups $\widehat{K}_0(X) \subset \overset{\vee}{K}_0(X)$ together with Chern characters from $\overset{\vee}{K}_0(X)$ (resp. $\widehat{K}_0(X)$) to $\underset{p\geq 0}{\oplus} \overset{\vee}{\mathrm{CH}}{}^p(X)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$ (resp. $\underset{p\geq 0}{\oplus} \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$). In § 3 we prove several facts about the secondary Todd classes of birational maps of models. In § 4, after defining the higher analytic torsion currents (Prop. 4), we define the direct images f_* on $\overset{\vee}{K}_0$, and we give properties of this map, including a Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck theorem with values in $\overset{\vee}{\mathrm{CH}}$ (Th. 1 and Th. 2).

We thank S. Bloch for useful discussions. We are also grateful to the Newton Institute where most of this work was done.

1. Cycles

1.1. We first recall some definitions and results in "non-archimedean Arakelov theory", from our joint work with S. Bloch [BGS], to which we refer the reader for more details.

Let Λ be an excellent discrete valuation ring with quotient field K and residue field k. Let X be a smooth projective scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(K)$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a regular scheme, projective and flat over $\mathrm{Spec}(\Lambda)$, together with an isomorphism of its generic fiber $\mathcal{X}_K$ with X. We denote by $\mathcal{X}_0 = \mathcal{X} \underset{\mathrm{Spec}(\Lambda)}{\times} \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ the special fiber of $\mathcal{X}$ and by $i : \mathcal{X}_0 \to \mathcal{X}$ its closed immersion into $\mathcal{X}$. We shall say that $\mathcal{X}$ is a *model* of X when $\mathcal{X}_0^{\mathrm{red}}$ is a divisor with normal crossings.

A *map of varieties* $X \to Y$ will mean a morphism over K between smooth projective schemes over K. When $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are models of X and Y respectively, a *map of models* $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is any morphism defined over Λ. A map of models is *good* when it is the composite of blow ups with integral regular centers meeting the reduced special fiber normally.

We shall assume that axioms (M1) and (M2) of [BGS] (1.1) hold. Axiom (M1) says that, given any scheme $\mathcal{X}$, projective and flat over Λ, with smooth generic fiber X, there exists a model $\mathcal{X}'$ of X and a morphism $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ over Λ. Axiom (M2) says that, given two models $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}'$ of X, there exists a third model $\mathcal{X}''$, a map of models $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}'$, and a good map of models $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}''$.

By Hironaka [H], these axioms are satisfied when Λ is a localization of an algebra of finite type over a field of characteristic zero.

We write $\mathcal{M}(X)$ for the category of models of X.

1.2. Under the assumption of 1.1, let $\mathcal{X}$ be a model of X and let $p \geq 0$ be an integer. Denote by $\mathrm{CH}_p(\mathcal{X}_0)$ the Chow homology group of dimension p algebraic cycles on $\mathcal{X}_0$ modulo rational equivalence, and by $\mathrm{CH}^p(\mathcal{X}_0)$ the Chow cohomology group of codimension p of $\mathcal{X}_0$, i.e. the bivariant group $\mathrm{CH}^p(\mathcal{X}_0 \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} \mathcal{X}_0)$ of [Fu], 17.1.

When X is fixed, any morphism $\pi : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ between models of X induces both direct images

$$\pi_* : \mathrm{CH}_p(\mathcal{X}'_0) \to \mathrm{CH}_p(\mathcal{X}_0)$$
$$\pi_* : \mathrm{CH}^p(\mathcal{X}'_0) \to \mathrm{CH}^p(\mathcal{X}_0)$$

and inverse images

$$\pi^* : \mathrm{CH}_p(\mathcal{X}_0) \to \mathrm{CH}_p(\mathcal{X}'_0)$$
$$\pi^* : \mathrm{CH}^p(\mathcal{X}_0) \to \mathrm{CH}^p(\mathcal{X}'_0)$$

([BGS] (1.4)). The projection formula implies

$$\pi_* \, \pi^* = \mathrm{id} \,. \tag{1}$$

If d is the dimension of $\mathcal{X}_0$ over k, we may consider the inductive limits with respect to π^*:

$$A^{pp}_{\text{closed}}(X) := \varinjlim_{\mathcal{M}(X)} \mathrm{CH}^p(\mathcal{X}_0)$$
$$\widetilde{A}^{pp}(X) := \varinjlim_{\mathcal{M}(X)} \mathrm{CH}_{d-p}(\mathcal{X}_0)\,,$$

as well as the projective limits under π_*:

$$D^{pp}_{\text{closed}}(X) := \varprojlim_{\mathcal{M}(X)} \mathrm{CH}^p(\mathcal{X}_0)$$
$$\widetilde{D}^{pp}(X) := \varprojlim_{\mathcal{M}(X)} \mathrm{CH}_{d-p}(\mathcal{X}_0)\,.$$

By analogy with Arakelov theory [GS1] these groups are called, respectively, closed (p,p)-forms, (p,p)-forms modulo boundaries, closed (p,p)-currents, and (p,p)-currents modulo boundaries.

From (1) it follows that there are canonical inclusions

$$A^{pp}_{\text{closed}}(X) \subset D^{pp}_{\text{closed}}(X)$$

and

$$\widetilde{A}^{pp}(X) \subset \widetilde{D}^{pp}(X)$$

of forms into currents.

We shall also denote by $A^{pp}_{\text{closed}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\widetilde{A}^{pp}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, ... the tensor products $A^{pp}_{\text{closed}}(X) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{Q}$, $\widetilde{A}^{pp}(X) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{Q}$, ... Furthermore we let

$$A_{\text{closed}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{p\geq 0} A^{pp}_{\text{closed}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}\,,$$

and we define similarly $\widetilde{A}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ etc ...

1.3. Given a model $\mathcal{X}$, there is a morphism

$$i^*\, i_* : \mathrm{CH}_{d-p}(\mathcal{X}_0) \to \mathrm{CH}^{p+1}(\mathcal{X}_0)\,,$$

obtained by composing the direct image in Chow homology

$$i_* : \mathrm{CH}_{d-p}(\mathcal{X}_0) \to \mathrm{CH}_{d-p}(\mathcal{X})\,,$$

the Poincaré duality

$$\mathrm{CH}_{d-p}(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \mathrm{CH}^{p+1}(\mathcal{X})\,,$$

and the restriction map in Chow cohomology

$$i^* : \mathrm{CH}^{p+1}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathrm{CH}^{p+1}(\mathcal{X}_0)\,.$$

When $\mathcal{X}$ varies, these maps are compatible with π_* and they induce a morphism

$$dd^c : \widetilde{D}^{pp}(X) \to D^{p+1,p+1}(X)$$

on projective limits. Using resolution of singularities, one gets the following result ([BGS], Th. 2.3.1):

Proposition 1.

i) *A current $g \in \widetilde{D}^{pp}(X)$ lies in $\widetilde{A}^{pp}(X)$ if and only if $dd^c(g)$ lies in the subgroup $A^{p+1,p+1}_{\mathrm{closed}}(X)$ of $D^{p+1,p+1}_{\mathrm{closed}}(X)$.*

ii) *The kernel (resp. cokernel) of dd^c coincides with the kernel (resp. cokernel) of $i^*\, i_*$ on any model of X.*

1.4. Let $Y \subset X$ be a closed integral subvariety of codimension p. For any model $\mathcal{X}$ we may consider the Zariski closure $\overline{Y}$ of Y in $\mathcal{X}$, and the restriction $i^*[\overline{Y}] \in \mathrm{CH}^p(\mathcal{X}_0)$ of its cycle class on $\mathcal{X}$. These are compatible with π_* and we get this way a closed current

$$\delta_Y = (i^*[\overline{Y}]) \in D^{pp}_{\mathrm{closed}}(X)\,.$$

When $Z = \sum_\alpha n_\alpha\, Y_\alpha \in Z^p(X)$ is any algebraic cycle of codimension p on X, we let

$$\delta_Z = \sum_\alpha n_\alpha\, \delta_{Y_\alpha}\,.$$

A *Green current* for Z is any current $g \in \widetilde{D}^{p-1,p-1}(X)$ such that $dd^c(g) + \delta_Z$ lies in $A^{pp}_{\mathrm{closed}}(X)$.

For example, let $W \subset X$ be a closed integral subvariety of codimension $p-1$ and $f \in k(W)^*$ a non trivial rational function on W. Let $\mathrm{div}(f)$ be the divisor of f on W, viewed as a codimension p cycle on X, let $\overline{\mathrm{div}(f)}$ be its Zariski closure on a model $\mathcal{X}$, and let $\mathrm{div}_{\mathcal{X}}(f)$ be the divisor of f on the Zariski closure of W in $\mathcal{X}$. Consider the difference

$$\mathrm{div}_\nu(f)_{\mathcal{X}} = \overline{\mathrm{div}(f)} - \mathrm{div}_{\mathcal{X}}(f)\,.$$

The family $-\mathrm{div}_\nu(f) = (-\mathrm{div}_\nu(f)_{\mathcal{X}})$ is then a Green current for the cycle $\mathrm{div}(f)$ ([BGS], (3.1)).

1.5. For any $p \geq 0$, the *arithmetic Chow group* $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X)$ is defined as the quotient of the abelian group of pairs (Z, g), where $Z \in Z^p(X)$ is a codimension p algebraic cycle

on X and g is a Green current for X, by the subgroup generated by the set of all pairs $(\mathrm{div}(f), -\mathrm{div}_\nu(f))$, where f is a non zero rational function on a codimension $(p-1)$ closed integral subvariety in X.

Let us also introduce the group $\overset{\vee}{\mathrm{CH}}{}^p(X)$, equal to the quotient of $Z^p(X) \oplus \widetilde{D}^{p-1,p-1}(X)$ by the group generated by pairs $(\mathrm{div}(f), -\mathrm{div}_\nu(f))$ as above (compare [B] and [Z]). Clearly there is an inclusion

$$\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X) \subset \overset{\vee}{\mathrm{CH}}{}^p(X)\,.$$

Let

$$\omega : \overset{\vee}{\mathrm{CH}}{}^p(X) \to D^{pp}_{\mathrm{closed}}(X)$$

be the morphism sending the class of (Z, g) to $dd^c(g) + \delta_Z$ (this kills the relations in $\overset{\vee}{\mathrm{CH}}{}^p(X)$, cf. [BGS] Prop. (3.1.1)). The subgroup $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X)$ consists of those x in $\overset{\vee}{\mathrm{CH}}{}^p(X)$ such that $\omega(x)$ lies in the subgroup $A^{pp}_{\mathrm{closed}}(X)$ of $D^{pp}_{\mathrm{closed}}(X)$.

We also denote by

$$a : \widetilde{D}^{p-1,p-1}(X) \to \overset{\vee}{\mathrm{CH}}{}^p(X)$$

the morphism sending η to the class of $(0, \eta)$. By Proposition 1 i), η lies in $\widetilde{A}^{p-1,p-1}(X)$ if and only if its image $a(\eta)$ lies in $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X)$.

As shown in [BGS] Th. 3.3.3, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\varinjlim_{\mathcal{M}(X)} \mathrm{CH}^p(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X) \tag{2}$$

and (taking inverse limit in the diagram of op.cit., p. 461) it extends to an isomorphism

$$\varprojlim_{\mathcal{M}(X)} \mathrm{CH}^p(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overset{\vee}{\mathrm{CH}}{}^p(X)\,. \tag{3}$$

Given $\eta = (\eta_{\mathcal{X}}) \in \widetilde{D}^{p-1,p-1}(X)$ this isomorphism sends $(i_*\,\eta_{\mathcal{X}})$ to $a(\eta)$, and if $Z \in Z^p(X)$ it sends the projective system of Zariski closures of Z in $\mathcal{X}$ to the class of $(Z, 0)$.

1.6. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a map of varieties. We know from [BGS] 1.6 that forms are contravariant and currents are covariant. Furthermore, it follows from (2) that f induces pull-back morphisms

$$f^* : \widehat{CH}^p(Y) \to \widehat{CH}^p(X)$$

and from (3) we get direct image morphisms

$$f_* : \overset{\vee}{\mathrm{CH}}{}^p(X) \to \overset{\vee}{\mathrm{CH}}{}^{p-\delta}(X)\,,$$

where δ is the relative dimension of X over Y. We may also describe f_* as mapping the class of (Z, g) to the class of $(f_*(Z), f_*(g))$, where $f_*(Z)$ is the usual direct image of the cycle Z [Fu]. Given two maps of varieties $f : X \to Y$ and $h : Y \to Z$, we have $(hf)_* = h_*\, f_*$ and $(hf)^* = f^*\, h^*$.

Assume furthermore that f is flat. Then, as was shown in [BGS], Thms. (4.1.1) and (4.2.1), the morphism f_* maps forms to forms and respects $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}$:

$$f_*(\widetilde{A}^{pp}(X)) \subset \widetilde{A}^{p-\delta,p-\delta}(X)\,,$$
$$f_*(A^{pp}_{\mathrm{closed}}(X)) \subset A^{p-\delta,p-\delta}_{\mathrm{closed}}(X)\,,$$
$$f_*(\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X)) \subset \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^{p-\delta}(X)\,.$$

1.7. From formula (2) we also deduce a graded ring structure

$$\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X) \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^q(X) \to \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^{p+q}(X) \tag{4}$$

on arithmetic Chow groups. From (3) and the projection formula $\pi_*(x\,\pi^*(y)) = \pi_*(x)y$ for any map $\pi : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ between models of X, $x \in \mathrm{CH}^p(\mathcal{X}')$, $y \in \mathrm{CH}^q(\mathcal{X})$, we deduce a pairing

$$\overset{\vee}{\mathrm{CH}}{}^p(X) \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^q(X) \to \overset{\vee}{\mathrm{CH}}{}^{p+q}(X) \tag{5}$$

extending (4), and turning $\overset{\vee}{\mathrm{CH}}(X)$ into a graded module on $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}(X)$.

When $f : X \to Y$ is a map of varieties, the formulae

$$f^*(xy) = f^*(x)\, f^*(y)$$

and

$$f_*(x\, f^*(y)) = f_*(x)\, y$$

hold when x lies in $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(Y)$ (resp. $\check{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X)$) and y lies in $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^q(Y)$.

Similar facts are true for pairings between forms and currents ([BGS] 1.5 and Proposition (1.6.2)).

2. Vector bundles

2.1. We keep the notation of sections 1.1 or 1.2. Let E be a vector bundle on X. A *metric* on E is determined by the choice of a vector bundle $h = E_{\mathcal{X}}$ on some model $\mathcal{X}$ of X, together with an isomorphism $E \simeq E_{\mathcal{X}|X}$ of E with the restriction of $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ to X. By convention, given any map $\pi : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ between models of X, $\pi^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}$ defines the same

metric as $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ (see [BGS] (1.9.1)). Notice that (by [RG] Part I, Th. 5.2.2 together with [Ma] Th. 7.10) any bundle E on X has a metric. Furthermore, given any morphism of bundles $u : E \to F$ and arbitrary metrics on E and F, there exists a model $\mathcal{X}$ and a (unique) morphism of bundles $E_{\mathcal{X}} \to F_{\mathcal{X}}$ which induces u on X and such that $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ (resp. $F_{\mathcal{X}}$) induces the given metric on E (resp. F) (by uniqueness this is a local problem on $\mathcal{X}$, therefore we can assume that both $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $F_{\mathcal{X}}$ are trivial; after blowing up $\mathcal{X}$, all the coefficients of the matrix u of rational functions extend to some model of X).

Let $D^b(\mathcal{X})$ (resp. $D^b(X)$) be the derived category of bounded complexes of vector bundles on $\mathcal{X}$ (resp. X). Since $\mathcal{X}$ (resp. X) is noetherian and regular, this is equivalent to the derived category of bounded complexes of coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$ (resp. X). We also denote by $D^b_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{X})$ the full subcategory of $D^b(\mathcal{X})$ consisting of complexes which are acyclic outside $\mathcal{X}_0$.

Given $K \in D^b(X)$, a *metric* on K is determined by the choice of $K_{\mathcal{X}} \in D^b(\mathcal{X})$ together with an isomorphism $K \simeq K_{\mathcal{X}|X}$. Again, given $\pi : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$, $\pi^* K_{\mathcal{X}}$ defines the same metric as $K_{\mathcal{X}}$.

2.2. Let $\phi = \mathrm{ch}$ or Td be the Chern character or the Todd genus. Given any metrized vector bundle $\overline{E} = (E, h)$ on X, we can attach to $\overline{E}$ a closed form

$$\phi(\overline{E}) \in A_{\mathrm{closed}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}\,.$$

If $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ is an extension of E defining h, this form $\phi(\overline{E})$ is defined as the image in the direct limit of Chow cohomology groups of

$$\phi(i^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}) \in \mathrm{CH}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}_0)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \mathrm{CH}^p(\mathcal{X}_0)_{\mathbb{Q}}\,.$$

The Chern character is such that

$$\mathrm{ch}(\overline{E} \oplus \overline{F}) = \mathrm{ch}(\overline{E}) + \mathrm{ch}(\overline{F})$$

and

$$\mathrm{ch}(\overline{E} \otimes \overline{F}) = \mathrm{ch}(\overline{E})\,\mathrm{ch}(\overline{F})\,,$$

while the Todd class is multiplicative

$$\mathrm{Td}(\overline{E} \oplus \overline{F}) = \mathrm{Td}(\overline{E})\,\mathrm{Td}(\overline{F})\,.$$

Here, given $\overline{E} = (E, E_{\mathcal{X}})$ and $\overline{F} = (F, F_{\mathcal{X}'})$, their sum $\overline{E} \oplus \overline{F}$ is defined as $E \oplus F$ extended to $\pi^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}} \oplus (\pi')^*\, F_{\mathcal{X}'}$ on any model $\mathcal{X}''$ with maps $\pi : \mathcal{X}'' \to \mathcal{X}$ and $\pi' : \mathcal{X}'' \to \mathcal{X}'$.

From (2) we may also define a class

$$\widehat{\phi}(\overline{E}) \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^\bullet(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

such that $\omega(\widehat{\phi}(\overline{E})) = \phi(\overline{E})$. This is just the image of $\phi(E_{\mathcal{X}}) \in \mathrm{CH}^\bullet(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in the inductive limit.

When $K \in D^b(X)$ is equipped with a metric we may also define $\phi(\overline{K}) \in A_{\mathrm{closed}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\widehat{\phi}(\overline{K}) \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $\omega(\widehat{\phi}(\overline{K})) = \phi(\overline{K})$. If $C^\bullet$ is a bounded complex of bundles on $\mathcal{X}$ representing the metric on K, we just let $\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}(\overline{K})$ be the image of

$$\mathrm{ch}(C^\bullet) := \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \, \mathrm{ch}(C^i)$$

and $\widehat{\mathrm{Td}}(\overline{K})$ be the image of

$$\mathrm{Td}(C^\bullet) := \prod_{i \geq 0} \mathrm{Td}(C^i)^{(-1)^i}$$

in the inductive limit of Chow groups of models of X. This is well defined since ch (resp. Td) is additive (resp. multiplicative) on exact sequences of vector bundles on $\mathcal{X}$.

2.3. Let X be as above and let $\mathcal{X}$ be a model of X. Consider a bounded acyclic complex

$$(E^\bullet, d) = (E^0 \xrightarrow{d} E^1 \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} E^k)$$

of bundles over X. For every $n \geq 0$, let $E^n_{\mathcal{X}}$ be a vector bundle on $\mathcal{X}$ restricting to E^n on X. Let $\phi = \mathrm{ch}$ or $\mathrm{Td} - 1$ and denote by $\phi(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}})$ the elements

$$\mathrm{ch}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}) = \sum_{n=0}^{k} (-1)^n \, \mathrm{ch}(E^n_{\mathcal{X}}) \tag{6}$$

and

$$(\mathrm{Td} - 1)(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}) = (\prod_{n=0}^{k} \mathrm{Td}(E^n_{\mathcal{X}})^{(-1)^n}) - 1 \tag{7}$$

in $\mathrm{CH}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Proposition 2. *Under these assumptions there exists a unique class*

$$\phi_{\mathcal{X}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}) \in \mathrm{CH}_\bullet(\mathcal{X}_0)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

with the following three properties:

i) *One has*

$$i_* \, \phi_{\mathcal{X}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}) = \phi(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}).$$

ii) *Let $f : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ be any map of models. Then*

$$f^*\,\phi_{\mathcal{X}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}) = \phi_{\mathcal{X}_0}(f^*(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}))\,.$$

iii) *Assume that, for all $n \geq 0$, the differential $d : E^n \to E^{n+1}$ extends to $d_{\mathcal{X}} : E^n_{\mathcal{X}} \to E^{n+1}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and that $(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}, d_{\mathcal{X}})$ is acyclic on $\mathcal{X}$. Then*

$$\phi_{\mathcal{X}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}) = 0\,.$$

Furthermore

iv) *Let*

$$0 \to S^\bullet \to E^\bullet \to Q^\bullet \to 0$$

be an exact sequence of bounded acyclic complexes on X. Assume $S^n_{\mathcal{X}}$, $E^n_{\mathcal{X}}$, $Q^n_{\mathcal{X}}$ are bundles on $\mathcal{X}$ which restrict to S^n, E^n and Q^n respectively on X, $n \geq 0$. Then the following identities hold:

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}) = \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(S^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}) + \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(Q^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}})\,, \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{Td}-1)_{\mathcal{X}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}) &= (\mathrm{Td}-1)_{\mathcal{X}_0}(S^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}) \cdot (i^*\,\mathrm{Td}(Q^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}})) + (\mathrm{Td}-1)_{\mathcal{X}_0}(Q^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}) \\ &= (\mathrm{Td}-1)_{\mathcal{X}_0}(S_{\mathcal{X}}) + i^*(\mathrm{Td}(S^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}})) \cdot (\mathrm{Td}-1)_{\mathcal{X}_0}(Q^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}})\,.\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

v) *If $F_{\mathcal{X}}$ is any bundle on $\mathcal{X}$,*

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes F_{\mathcal{X}}) = \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}})\, i^*\,\mathrm{ch}(F_{\mathcal{X}})\,. \tag{10}$$

vi) *If $E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}[1]$ denotes the shift by one of $E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}$, the following two equalities hold:*

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}[1]) = -\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}) \tag{11}$$

and

$$(\mathrm{Td}-1)_{\mathcal{X}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}[1]) = -(\mathrm{Td}-1)_{\mathcal{X}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}})^{-1}\,. \tag{12}$$

Proof. We use the Grassmann-graph construction [BFM] (see also [Fu] §18.1 , and [GS4] §1 for a variant of this construction). Let e_n be the rank of $E^n_{\mathcal{X}}$, consider the Grassmann variety $G_n = \mathrm{Grass}_{e_n}(E^n_{\mathcal{X}} \oplus E^{n+1}_{\mathcal{X}})$, $n \geq 0$, and let

$$G = G_0 \underset{\mathcal{X}}{\times} G_1 \underset{\mathcal{X}}{\times} \cdot \underset{\mathcal{X}}{\times} G_{k-1}\,.$$

The acyclic complex $(E^\bullet, d)$ defines a section

$$\varphi : X \underset{K}{\times} \mathbb{P}^1 \to G \underset{\Lambda}{\times} \mathbb{P}^1$$

of the projection

$$G \underset{\Lambda}{\times} \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{X} \underset{\Lambda}{\times} \mathbb{P}^1$$

on the generic fiber $X \underset{K}{\times} \mathbb{P}^1$ (given by the graphs of the maps λd at the point $(x, \lambda) \in X \underset{K}{\times} \mathbb{A}^1$). We let $\mathcal{W}_1$ be the Zariski closure of $\varphi(X \underset{K}{\times} \mathbb{P}^1)$ in $G \underset{\Lambda}{\times} \mathbb{P}^1$, and $\mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W}_1$ a resolution of $\mathcal{W}_1$. The scheme $\mathcal{W}$ is a model of $X \underset{K}{\times} \mathbb{P}^1$. For each $n \geq 0$, the tautological bundle of rank e_n on G defines a vector bundle $E^n_{\mathcal{W}}$ on $\mathcal{W}$, and there exists an acyclic complex of vector bundles $(\widetilde{E}^\bullet, \widetilde{d})$ on $X \underset{K}{\times} \mathbb{P}^1$ where, for each $n \geq 0$, $\widetilde{E}^n$ is the restriction of $E^n_{\mathcal{W}}$ to $X \underset{K}{\times} \mathbb{P}^1$.

Let $\mathcal{W}_0$ (resp. $\mathcal{W}_\infty$) be the Zariski closure $X \times \{0\}$ (resp. $X \times \{\infty\}$) in $\mathcal{W}$. Denote by

$$j_0 : \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{W}_0 \to \mathcal{W}$$

the inclusion and by

$$j_\infty : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{W}$$

the composite of a resolution of singularities $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{W}_\infty$ with the inclusion $\mathcal{W}_\infty \to \mathcal{W}$. One has $j_0^*(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}) = E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}$ and there exists a split acyclic complex $(j_\infty^*(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}), d_\infty)$ on $\mathcal{X}'$ which restricts to $j_\infty^*(\widetilde{E}^\bullet, \widetilde{d})$ on $X \times \{\infty\}$ ([Fu], proof of Lemma 18.1).

The standard parameter z on $\mathbb{P}^1$ defines a rational function on $\mathcal{W}$, hence a class

$$\ell(z) = \mathrm{div}_\nu\,(z)_{\mathcal{W}}$$

such that

$$i_*\,\ell(z) = [\mathcal{W}_0] - [\mathcal{W}_\infty] = j_{0*}[\mathcal{X}] - j_{\infty *}[\mathcal{X}']\,. \tag{14}$$

Assume a class $\widetilde{\phi}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}})$ satisfying properties i), ii), iii) has been defined. Let $p : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{X}$ and $\pi : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ be the projection maps. We get

$$\begin{aligned} & p_*(i^*\,\phi(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}) \cdot \ell(z)) \\ &= p_*(i^*\,i_*\,\phi_{\mathcal{W}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}) \cdot \ell(z)) \\ &= p_*(\phi_{\mathcal{W}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}) \cdot i^*\,i_*\,\ell(z)) \\ &= p_*(\phi_{\mathcal{W}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}})(j_{0*}[\mathcal{X}] - j_{\infty *}[\mathcal{X}'])) \\ &= \phi_{\mathcal{X}_0}(j_0^*\,E^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}) - \pi_*(\phi_{\mathcal{X}'_0}(j_\infty^*\,E^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}})) \\ &= \phi_{\mathcal{X}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

This proves the uniqueness of $\phi_{\mathcal{X}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}})$. Note that this proof of uniqueness is the same as the one in [GS2] 1.3.2 for the archimedean analog.

Conversely, if we define $\phi_{\mathcal{X}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}})$ by formula (15) we can check properties i) to v) as in loc.cit.. Indeed, i) follows from the equalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_* p_*(i^*\,\phi(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}) \cdot \ell(z)) \\
&= p_*(\phi(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}) \cdot i_*\ell(z)) \\
&= p_*(\phi(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}})(j_{0*}[\mathcal{X}] - j_{\infty *}[\mathcal{X}'])) \\
&= \phi(j_0^*\, E^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}) \\
&= \phi(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{16}
$$

Property ii) is clear. Under the assumption of iii), there exists a split acyclic complex $(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}, d_{\mathcal{W}})$ extending $(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}, d_{\mathcal{X}})$ to $\mathcal{W}$, hence $\phi(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}) = 0$ and, by (15), $\phi_{\mathcal{X}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}})$ vanishes. To prove (10), we note that

$$p_*(i^*\, ch(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}} \otimes p^*(F_{\mathcal{X}})) \cdot \ell(z)) = \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes F_{\mathcal{X}}).$$

It remains to prove the identities (8) and (9) for the behaviour of $\phi_{\mathcal{X}_0}$ in exact sequences. By deformation as in [Fu], proof of Proposition 18.1 b), or by iii) and the analog of [GS2] Prop. 1.3.4, we are reduced to the case where $(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}, d_{\mathcal{X}}) = (S^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}, d_{\mathcal{X}}) \oplus (Q^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}, d_{\mathcal{X}})$. But then we can copy the proof of [GS2] Prop. 1.3.2. Namely let $\phi(S^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}, Q^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}})$ be the class

$$\phi(S^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}, Q^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}) = \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(S^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}) + \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(Q^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}})$$

when $\phi = \mathrm{ch}$, and

$$\phi(S^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}, Q^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}) = (\mathrm{Td} - 1)_{\mathcal{X}_0}(S^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}})(i^*\,\mathrm{Td}(Q^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}})) + (\mathrm{Td} - 1)_{\mathcal{X}_0}(Q^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}})$$

when $\phi = \mathrm{Td} - 1$. This class is functorial and such that

$$i_*\,\phi(S^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}, Q^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}) = \phi(S^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}} \oplus Q^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}})\,.$$

Furthermore it vanishes when there exist acyclic complexes $(S^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}, d_{\mathcal{X}})$ and $(Q^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}, d_{\mathcal{X}})$. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a model of $X \underset{K}{\times} \mathbb{P}^1$ together with maps $j_0 : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{W}$, $j_\infty : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{W}$, $\pi : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ as above, where $\mathcal{W}$ maps to the closures of both $\varphi_S(X \underset{K}{\times} \mathbb{P}^1)$ and $\varphi_Q(X \underset{K}{\times} \mathbb{P}^1$, where

$$\varphi_S : X \underset{K}{\times} \mathbb{P}^1 \to G \underset{\Lambda}{\times} \mathbb{P}^1$$

and

$$\varphi_Q : X \underset{K}{\times} \mathbb{P}^1 \to G' \underset{\Lambda}{\times} \mathbb{P}^1$$

are the maps defined as in [Fu] 18.1 from the complexes $(S^\bullet, d)$ and $(Q^\bullet, d)$ respectively. Let $S^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}$ and $Q^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}$ be the bundles on $\mathcal{W}$ defined as was $E^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}$ at the beginning of this proof, and let $p : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{X}$ be the projection map. We get successively

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi_{\mathcal{X}_0}(S^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}} \oplus Q^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}) \\
&= p_*(i^*\, \phi(S^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}} \oplus Q^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}) \cdot \ell(z)) \\
&= p_*(i^*\, i_*\, \phi(S^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}, Q^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}) \cdot \ell(z)) \\
&= j_0^*\, \phi(S^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}, Q^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}) - \pi_* j_\infty^*\, \phi(S^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}, Q^\bullet_{\mathcal{W}}) \\
&= \phi(S^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}, Q^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}})\,.
\end{aligned}$$

q.e.d.

2.4. Let $\phi = \mathrm{ch}$ or $\mathrm{Td} - 1$. It follows from Proposition 2 iv) that, if $(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}, d_{\mathcal{X}})$ and $(F^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}, d_{\mathcal{X}})$ are quasi-isomorphic complexes which are both acyclic on X,

$$\phi_{\mathcal{X}_0}(E^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}) = \phi_{\mathcal{X}_0}(F^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}})\,.$$

This implies that $\phi_{\mathcal{X}_0}$ defines a class $\phi_{\mathcal{X}_0}(K_{\mathcal{X}}) \in \mathrm{CH}_\bullet(\mathcal{X}_0)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for any element $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ in $D^b_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{X})$ and, given a distinguished triangle

$$K'_{\mathcal{X}} \to K_{\mathcal{X}} \to K''_{\mathcal{X}} \to K'_{\mathcal{X}}\,[1]$$

in $D^b_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{X})$, the formulae

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(K_{\mathcal{X}}) = \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(K'_{\mathcal{X}}) + \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(K''_{\mathcal{X}}) \tag{17}$$

and

$$(\mathrm{Td}-1)_{\mathcal{X}_0}(K_{\mathcal{X}}) = (\mathrm{Td}-1)_{\mathcal{X}_0}(K'_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}(K''_{\mathcal{X}}) + (\mathrm{Td}-1)_{\mathcal{X}_0}(K''_{\mathcal{X}}) \tag{18}$$

hold, as well as

$$i_*\, \phi_{\mathcal{X}_0}(K^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}) = \phi(K^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}})\ . \tag{19}$$

Now let

$$\mathcal{T} : K' \to K \to K'' \to K'[1] \tag{20}$$

be a distinguished triangle in $D^b(X)$ and assume K', K and K'' are equipped with arbitrary metrics. We can attach to these data classes $\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}(\overline{\mathcal{T}})$, $\mathrm{Td}_0(\overline{\mathcal{T}})$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(\overline{\mathcal{T}})$ in $\widetilde{A}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ which are defined as follows. Choose an exact sequence of complexes on X

$$0 \to E'^{\bullet} \to E^{\bullet} \to E''^{\bullet} \to 0 \tag{21}$$

representing $\mathcal{T}$. We view (21) as a double complex where the second degree of $E'^{\bullet}$ is zero, and we denote by $C^{\bullet}$ the total complex of (21). The complex $C^{\bullet}$ is acyclic and the metrics chosen on K', K and K'' define a metric on $C^{\bullet}$, i.e. bundles $C^n_{\mathcal{X}}$ on some model $\mathcal{X}$ which restrict to C^n on X for all $n \geq 0$. We then define

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}) := \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(C^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}}) \tag{22}$$

$$\mathrm{Td}_0(\overline{\mathcal{T}}) := (\mathrm{Td} - 1)_{\mathcal{X}_0}(C^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}}) \tag{23}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}) := \mathrm{Td}_0(\overline{\mathcal{T}})\,\mathrm{Td}(\overline{K}) \tag{24}$$

in $\widetilde{A}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, where $\mathrm{Td}(\overline{K}) \in A_{\mathrm{closed}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the Todd form of K with its chosen metric (cf. §2.2). These classes depend only on $\mathcal{T}$ and on the choice of metrics on K', K and K''. When $\phi = \mathrm{ch}$ or Td, the following equation is satisfied:

$$a(\widetilde{\phi}(\overline{\mathcal{T}})) = \widehat{\phi}(\overline{K}' \oplus \overline{K}'') - \widehat{\phi}(\overline{K}) \tag{25}$$

(this follows from (17)).

Let h_0 and h_1 be two metrics on a given $K \in D^b(X)$. Consider the triangle $\mathcal{T}$ as in (20) where $K'' = 0$, $K' = K$, and $K' \to K$ is the identity. Let K' be metrized by h_0 and K by h_1. When $\phi = \mathrm{ch}$ or Td we define

$$\widetilde{\phi}(h_0, h_1) := \widetilde{\phi}(\overline{\mathcal{T}})\,, \tag{26}$$

hence

$$a(\widetilde{\phi}(h_0, h_1)) = \widehat{\phi}(K, h_0) - \widehat{\phi}(K, h_1)\,. \tag{27}$$

It follows from (25) and (26) that, given three metrics h_0, h_1, h_2, we have

$$\widetilde{\phi}(h_0, h_1) = \widetilde{\phi}(h_0, h_1) + \widetilde{\phi}(h_1, h_2) \tag{28}$$

and that

$$\widetilde{\phi}(h_0, h_1) = -\widetilde{\phi}(h_1, h_0)\,. \tag{29}$$

2.5. We also have the following

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangle as in* (20)*. Let h'_0, h_0, h''_0 and h'_1, h_1, h''_1 be two sets of metrics on K', K, K'' respectively. When $\phi = \mathrm{Td}$ or ch, let $\widetilde{\phi}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_0)$ and $\widetilde{\phi}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_1)$ be the class defined above for each choice of metrics.*

i) *If $\phi = \mathrm{ch}$ we have*

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_0) - \widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_1) = \widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}(h'_0, h'_1) - \widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}(h_0, h_1) + \widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}(h''_0, h''_1)\,. \tag{30}$$

ii) *When $\phi = \mathrm{Td}$, $h_0 = h_1$ and $h''_0 = h''_1$, we have*

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_0) - \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_1) = \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(h'_0, h'_1)\,\mathrm{Td}(\overline{K}'')\,. \tag{31}$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a model on which all the metrics h'_0 ... h''_1 are defined, let

$$0 \to E'^{\bullet} \to E^{\bullet} \to E''^{\bullet} \to 0$$

be an exact sequence representing $\mathcal{T}$ as in (21), and let T_0 (resp. T_1) be the associated total complex equipped with the metrics induced by h'_0, h_0, h''_0 (resp. h'_1, h_1, h''_1). Finally let T be the total complex of the identity map $T_0 \to T_1$, equipped with the induced metric. From the exact sequence

$$0 \to T_1[1] \to T \to T_0 \to 0 \tag{32}$$

we get, using (8) and (11),

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(T) = \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(T_0) - \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(T_1)\,. \tag{33}$$

On the other hand, if C' (resp. C, resp. C'') is the cone of the identity map $(E'^{\bullet}, h'_0) \to (E'^{\bullet}, h'_1)$ (resp. $(E^{\bullet}, h_0) \to (E^{\bullet}, h_1)$, resp. $(E''^{\bullet}, h''_0) \to (E''^{\bullet}, h''_1)$) there are exact sequences

$$0 \to C''[2] \to T \to T' \to 0$$

$$0 \to C[1] \to T' \to C' \to 0$$

from which it follows, by (8) and (11), that

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(T) = \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(C') - \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(C) + \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(C'')\,. \tag{34}$$

The equality (30) follows from (33) and (34).

On the other hand, we deduce from (32), (9) and (12) that

$$(\mathrm{Td}-1)_{\mathcal{X}_0}(T) = (\mathrm{Td}-1)_{\mathcal{X}_0}(T_0)\,\mathrm{Td}(T_1)^{-1} - (\mathrm{Td}-1)_{\mathcal{X}_0}(T_1)\,\mathrm{Td}(T_1)^{-1}\,. \tag{35}$$

When $h_0 = h_1$ and $h_0'' = h_1''$, we can assume that C and C'' are acyclic, therefore T is quasi-isomorphic to C' and

$$(\mathrm{Td} - 1)_{\mathcal{X}_0}(T) = (\mathrm{Td} - 1)_{\mathcal{X}_0}(C') \,. \tag{36}$$

If we multiply (35) and (36) by $\mathrm{Td}(\overline{K}') \,\mathrm{Td}(\overline{K}'')$ the identity (31) follows by (24). q.e.d.

2.6. We now define the (non-archimedean) *arithmetic K-groups*. The group $\widehat{K}_0(X)$ is generated by triples (E, h, η), where E is a vector bundle on X, h is a metric on E, and $\eta \in \widetilde{A}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. These generators are required to satisfy the following relations. Let

$$\mathcal{E} : 0 \to S \to E \to Q \to 0$$

be any exact sequence of bundles on X and suppose that S, E and Q are equipped with arbitrary metrics. Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}(\overline{\mathcal{E}}) \in \widetilde{A}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the corresponding secondary class, defined as in 2.4 (with S in degree zero). Then, for any $\eta' \in \widetilde{A}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\eta'' \in \widetilde{A}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we have

$$(\overline{S}, \eta') + (\overline{Q}, \eta'') = (\overline{E}, \eta' + \eta'' + \widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}(\overline{\mathcal{E}})) \tag{37}$$

in $\widehat{K}_0(X)$.

If, in this definition, we allow η, η', η'' to be any currents in $\widetilde{D}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we get another group, denoted $\overset{\vee}{K}_0(X)$, which clearly contains $\widehat{K}_0(X)$ as a subgroup.

There are maps

$$\alpha : \widetilde{D}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \overset{\vee}{K}_0(X)$$

and

$$\mathrm{ch} : \overset{\vee}{K}_0(X) \to D_{\mathrm{closed}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

defined by $\alpha(\eta) = (0, \eta)$ and

$$\mathrm{ch}(\overline{E}, \eta) = \mathrm{ch}(\overline{E}) + dd^c \,\eta \,. \tag{38}$$

Note the equalities

$$\mathrm{ch} \circ \alpha = \omega \circ a = dd^c \,.$$

Clearly, $x \in \overset{\vee}{K}_0(X)$ lies in $\widehat{K}_0(X)$ if and only if $\mathrm{ch}(x)$ lies in $A_{\mathrm{closed}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. From Proposition 1 i), we get that $\alpha(\eta)$ lies in $\widehat{K}_0(X)$ if and only if η lies in $\widetilde{A}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

From Proposition 2 it follows that any element K in $D^b(X)$, when equipped with a metric as in 2.1, has a class $[\overline{K}]$ in $\widehat{K}_0(X)$ and that, if K is acyclic,

$$[\overline{K}] = \alpha\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}\,(\overline{K})\right) .$$

Since forms and metrized vector bundles are contravariant, any map of varieties $f : Y \to X$ induces a pull-back morphism

$$f^* : \widehat{K}_0(X) \to \widehat{K}_0(Y)\,.$$

The formula

$$(\overline{E}, \eta) \cdot (\overline{F}, \xi) = (\overline{E} \otimes \overline{F}, \mathrm{ch}(\overline{E})\,\xi + \eta\,\mathrm{ch}(\overline{F}) + \eta\,dd^c\,\xi)$$

defines both a ring structure on $\widehat{K}_0(X)$ and a module structure of $\overset{\vee}{K}_0(X)$ over $\widehat{K}_0(X)$ (that this map is compatible with (37) is a consequence of Proposition 2 v)). Note that $f^*(xy) = f^*(x)\,f^*(y)$ when x and y lie in $\widehat{K}_0(X)$, and that

$$x\,\alpha(\eta) = \alpha(\mathrm{ch}(x)\,\eta) \tag{39}$$

when $\eta \in \widetilde{D}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $x \in \widehat{K}_0(X)$.

There is a Chern character map

$$\overset{\vee}{\mathrm{ch}} : \overset{\vee}{K}_0(X) \to \overset{\vee}{\mathrm{CH}}{}^{\bullet}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}\,,$$

defined by mapping $(\overline{E}, \eta)$ to the class of $\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}(\overline{E}) + a(\eta)$. It induces a ring homomorphism

$$\widehat{\mathrm{ch}} : \widehat{K}_0(X) \to \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^{\bullet}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

which commutes with pull-backs. Note that

$$\omega \circ \overset{\vee}{\mathrm{ch}} = \mathrm{ch} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overset{\vee}{\mathrm{ch}} \circ \alpha = a\,. \tag{40}$$

Our main goal will be to define push-forward morphisms f_* on arithmetic K-groups, satisfying a Riemann-Roch formula. For that purpose we need preliminaries on tangent complexes.

3. Secondary Todd classes of tangent complexes

3.1. Given any map of varieties $\varphi : X \to Y$ (resp. any map of models $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$) we can attach to it a tangent complex $T\varphi \in D^b(X)$ (resp. $Tf \in D^b(\mathcal{X})$). A representative of $T\varphi$ is the complex of vector bundles $TX \to \varphi^*(TY)$ with TX in degree zero. Similarly, when both models are smooth over some base, Tf is represented by $T\mathcal{X} \to f^*(T\mathcal{Y})$; in general it is defined by the construction dual to the one of the cotangent complex in [SGA6] Exp. VIII, §2.

Given any map of models $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$, we shall denote by

$$\mathrm{Td}(f) \in \mathrm{CH}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}_0)_\mathbb{Q} \subset A^\bullet_{\mathrm{closed}}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$$

the Todd class $i^* \mathrm{Td}(Tf)$. When $\pi : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ is a morphism between models of a given variety X, the tangent complex $T\pi$ is acyclic on X. Therefore it defines a class

$$\mathrm{Td}_0(\pi) := (\mathrm{Td} - 1)_{\mathcal{X}'_0}(T\pi) \in \mathrm{CH}_\bullet(\mathcal{X}'_0)_\mathbb{Q} \subset \widetilde{A}(X)_\mathbb{Q}\,.$$

Finally, when $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ and $f' : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{Y}'$ are two maps of models which induce the same map $\varphi : X \to Y$ of varieties, we let

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(f, f') := \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(h_0, h_1) \in \widetilde{A}(X)_\mathbb{Q}\,, \tag{41}$$

where h_0 (resp. h_1) is the metric induced by Tf (resp. Tf') on $T\varphi$.

When $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ and $g : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Z}$ are two map of models, there is a distinguished triangle in $D^b(\mathcal{X})$:

$$Tf \to T(gf) \to f^* Tg \to Tf[1]\,. \tag{42}$$

It follows that

$$\mathrm{Td}(gf) = \mathrm{Td}(f)\, f^* \mathrm{Td}(g)\,. \tag{43}$$

This also inplies that, if $\pi : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ is a morphism between two models of the variety X and $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is any map of models, the cone

$$T(f\pi) \to \pi^* Tf$$

is isomorphic to $T\pi\,[1]$ and therefore, from (24) and from (41), the following identity holds true in $\widetilde{A}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$:

$$\mathrm{Td}_0(\pi)\, \pi^*(\mathrm{Td}(f)) = \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(f\pi, f)\,. \tag{44}$$

Similarly, if $g : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}'$ is any map of models, the identity

$$g^*(\mathrm{Td}_0(\pi)) \cdot \mathrm{Td}(g) = \widetilde{Td}(\pi g, g) \tag{45}$$

holds in $\widetilde{A}(Y)_\mathbb{Q}$.

Finally, when $\pi : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ and $\rho : \mathcal{X}'' \to \mathcal{X}'$ are two maps between models of a given variety X, we deduce from (42) and (18) that

$$\mathrm{Td}_0(\pi\rho) = \mathrm{Td}_0(\rho)\, \rho^* \mathrm{Td}(\pi) + \rho^* \mathrm{Td}_0(\pi)\,. \tag{46}$$

3.2. Proposition 3. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ be a map between two models of X.*

i) *For any $K \in D^b(\mathcal{X})$ the adjunction map $K \to R\pi_* L\pi^* K$ is an isomorphism.*

ii) *Let $\mathrm{Td}_0(\pi) = (\mathrm{Td} - 1)_{\mathcal{X}'_0}(T\pi) \in \mathrm{CH}_\bullet(\mathcal{X}'_0)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then, in $\mathrm{CH}_\bullet(\mathcal{X}_0)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we have*

$$\pi_* \mathrm{Td}_0(\pi) = 0\,. \tag{47}$$

Proof. To prove i), note that $R\pi_* L\pi^* K$ is the derived tensor product of K with $R\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'}$, hence it is enough to consider the case where $K = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$. If we assume furthermore that π is the blow up of a closed regular subscheme in $\mathcal{X}$, the assertion follows from [SGA6] Exp. VII, Lemme 3.5, p. 441. Therefore i) is true when π is a good map of models in the sense of §1.1. Using the axiom (M2) of loc. cit., we may find two models $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2$, and maps of models $\mathcal{X}_2 \to \mathcal{X}_1$ and $\mathcal{X}_1 \to \mathcal{X}'$ so that the composite maps $\mathcal{X}_2 \to \mathcal{X}'$ and $\mathcal{X}_1 \to \mathcal{X}$ are good. Let $\pi_1 : \mathcal{X}_1 \to \mathcal{X}$ and $\pi_2 : \mathcal{X}_2 \to \mathcal{X}$ be the two obvious maps of models. We get a sequence of morphisms in $D^b(\mathcal{X})$:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \to R\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'} \to R\pi_{1*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_1} \to R\pi_{2*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_2}\,.$$

The composite of the first two maps is the isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \to R\pi_{1*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_1}$ (since π_1 is good) and the composite of the last two maps is also an isomorphism $R\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'} \to R\pi_{2*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_2}$ since $\mathcal{X}_2 \to \mathcal{X}'$ is good. It follows that all morphisms in the sequence above are isomorphisms. This proves i).

To prove ii), we apply the refined Riemann-Roch formula conjectured by T.Saito [S] p.163, and proved by J.Franke [Fr] §3.3. Consider the statement in [S] loc.cit. when $Y = T = \mathcal{X}$, $R = \mathcal{X}_0$, $Z = \mathcal{X}'$, $h = id_{\mathcal{X}}$, $\pi = g$, and $F = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$. By i) above, the canonical map

$$Rh_* F \to Rg_* L\pi^* F$$

is an isomorphism in that case, so the left hand side of [S], loc. cit., vanishes. On the other hand, the right hand side is precisely $\pi_* \mathrm{Td}_0(\pi)$.

q.e.d.

3.3. When π is a good map of models, one can also prove Proposition 3 ii) directly. Indeed, by definition, π is then the composite of a sequence of blow ups with integral regular centers meeting the reduced special fiber normally. By (46) and the projection formula, it is enough to check (47) when π is one of these good blow ups. Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the center of this blow up. We let $j_0 : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}_0$ and $j : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ be the obvious inclusions and denote by $\mathcal{Y}' = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Y})$ the exceptional divisor of π. If N is the normal bundle of $\mathcal{Y}$ in $\mathcal{X}$, we know that $\mathcal{Y}' = \mathbb{P}(N)$. Let $j' : \mathcal{Y}' \to \mathcal{X}'$ be the inclusion. According to [F], Lemma 15.4 (iv), the tangent complex $T\pi$, when shifted by one, is canonically isomorphic the direct image $j'_*(F)$ of the universal quotient bundle F on $\mathbb{P}(N)$. Therefore, if we apply

the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem with supports to j'_*, we see that $\mathrm{Td}_0(\pi)$ is $j'_*(\tau)$, where τ is a universal polynomial in the Chern classes of p^*N and the Chern class of the canonical line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}(N)$. It follows that $\pi_* \mathrm{Td}_0(\pi) = j_{0*}(\sigma)$, where σ is a universal polynomial $R(c_1(N), \dots, c_r(N))$ in the Chern classes of N, where R depends only on the rank r of N.

On the other hand, if we apply the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem to π and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'}$, since $R\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$, we obtain

$$1 = \mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = \pi_* \mathrm{Td}(\pi)\,,$$

therefore, by (19),

$$i_* \pi_* \mathrm{Td}_0(\pi) = \pi_*(\mathrm{Td}(\pi) - 1) = 0\,.$$

In particular Proposition 3 ii) holds as soon as i_* is injective, and $\sigma = 0$ when j_* is injective. The polynomial R is the same for any regular closed immersion j ($\mathcal{X}$ need not defined over Λ), for instance the standard section $j : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{P}(N \oplus 1)$ of the completed projective bundle of N, for which j_* is injective. Therefore this universal polynomial R must vanish. Hence we always have $\sigma = 0$, and Proposition 3 holds.

3.4. When Λ is a localization of an algebra of finite type over a field k of characteristic zero, the general case of Proposition 3 ii) would follow from the case of good maps of models and the strong factorization conjecture for birational maps made in [AKMW] (0.2.1) and [W]. Indeed, by a standard inductive limit argument, one is reduced to proving (47) when $\pi : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ is a birational map between two smooth projective varieties over k which is the identity outside the closed subset $\mathcal{X}_0 \subset \mathcal{X}$. But, according to the strong factorization conjecture, there exist a smooth projective variety $\mathcal{X}''$ and two maps $f : \mathcal{X}'' \to \mathcal{X}$ and $g : \mathcal{X}'' \to \mathcal{X}'$ which are compositions of a sequence of blow ups with smooth centers contained in the inverse image of $\mathcal{X}_0$, so that $f = \pi \circ g$. The identity (47) is true for f and g by §3.3, and (46) implies it for π.

We have not been able to prove (47) by using only §3.3 and the weak factorization theorem of [W] and [AKMW].

4. Direct images

4.1. Let $\varphi : X \to Y$ be a map of varieties and consider a commutative diagram of maps of models

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}' & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{X} \\ {\scriptstyle f'}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ \mathcal{Y}' & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathcal{Y} \end{array} \tag{48}$$

where $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}'$ (resp. $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Y}'$) are models of X (resp. Y) and both f and f' induce the same map φ from X to Y. Let $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ be a vector bundle on $\mathcal{X}$. The elements $Lp^*\, Rf_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $Rf'_*\, L\pi^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}$ in $D^b(\mathcal{Y}')$ both restrict to $R\varphi_*\, E$ on Y, when E is the restriction of $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ to X. Furthermore there is a canonical morphism

$$Lp^*\, Rf_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}} \to Rf'_*\, L\pi^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}\,. \tag{49}$$

Indeed, let $\mathcal{X}_1$ be the fiber product of $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}'$ over $\mathcal{Y}$, and $\varepsilon : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}_1$, $\pi_1 : \mathcal{X}_1 \to \mathcal{X}$ and $f_1 : \mathcal{X}_1 \to \mathcal{Y}'$ the obvious morphisms. By adjunction, as in §3.3., there is a morphism of functors $\mathrm{id} \to R\varepsilon_*\, L\varepsilon^*$ in the derived category of perfect complexes on $\mathcal{X}_1$. This gives a map

$$Rf_{1*}\, L\pi_1^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}} \to Rf'_*\, L\pi^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}} = Rf_{1*}\, R\varepsilon_*\, L\varepsilon^*\, L\pi_1^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}\,.$$

The map (49) is the composite of this map with the base change morphism

$$Lp^*\, Rf_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}} \to Rf_{1*}\, L\pi_1^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}$$

([SGA4] XVII 4.1.4). Let $K_{\mathcal{Y}'} \in D^b_{\mathcal{Y}'_0}(\mathcal{Y}')$ be the cone of the map (49). We define

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\mathcal{Y}'} := {} & \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}'_0}(K_{\mathcal{Y}'}) \cdot \mathrm{Td}(p) \\ & + p^*(\mathrm{ch}(Rf_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}))\, \mathrm{Td}_0(p) \\ & - f'_*[\pi^*(\mathrm{ch}(E_{\mathcal{X}})\, \mathrm{Td}(f)) \cdot \mathrm{Td}_0(\pi)] \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

in $\mathrm{CH}_\bullet(\mathcal{Y}'_0)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Note that, when $\mathcal{Y}' = \mathcal{Y}$, we have

$$\theta_{\mathcal{Y}} = 0\,. \tag{51}$$

4. Direct images

4.1. Let $\varphi : X \to Y$ be a map of varieties and consider a commutative diagram of maps of models

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}' & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{X} \\ {\scriptstyle f'}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ \mathcal{Y}' & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathcal{Y} \end{array} \tag{48}$$

where $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}'$ (resp. $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Y}'$) are models of X (resp. Y) and both f and f' induce the same map φ from X to Y. Let $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ be a vector bundle on $\mathcal{X}$. The elements $Lp^*\, Rf_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $Rf'_*\, L\pi^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}$ in $D^b(\mathcal{Y}')$ both restrict to $R\varphi_*\, E$ on Y, when E is the restriction of $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ to X. Furthermore there is a canonical morphism

$$Lp^*\, Rf_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}} \to Rf'_*\, L\pi^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}\,. \tag{49}$$

Indeed, let $\mathcal{X}_1$ be the fiber product of $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}'$ over $\mathcal{Y}$, and $\varepsilon : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}_1$, $\pi_1 : \mathcal{X}_1 \to \mathcal{X}$ and $f_1 : \mathcal{X}_1 \to \mathcal{Y}'$ the obvious morphisms. By adjunction, as in §3.3., there is a morphism of functors $\mathrm{id} \to R\varepsilon_*\, L\varepsilon^*$ in the derived category of perfect complexes on $\mathcal{X}_1$. This gives a map

$$Rf_{1*}\, L\pi_1^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}} \to Rf'_*\, L\pi^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}} = Rf_{1*}\, R\varepsilon_*\, L\varepsilon^*\, L\pi_1^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}\,.$$

The map (49) is the composite of this map with the base change morphism

$$Lp^*\, Rf_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}} \to Rf_{1*}\, L\pi_1^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}$$

([SGA4] XVII 4.1.4). Let $K_{\mathcal{Y}'} \in D^b_{\mathcal{Y}'_0}(\mathcal{Y}')$ be the cone of the map (49). We define

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\mathcal{Y}'} := {} & \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}'_0}(K_{\mathcal{Y}'}) \cdot \mathrm{Td}(p) \\ & + p^*(\mathrm{ch}(Rf_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}))\, \mathrm{Td}_0(p) \\ & - f'_*[\pi^*(\mathrm{ch}(E_{\mathcal{X}})\, \mathrm{Td}(f)) \cdot \mathrm{Td}_0(\pi)] \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

in $\mathrm{CH}_\bullet(\mathcal{Y}'_0)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Note that, when $\mathcal{Y}' = \mathcal{Y}$, we have

$$\theta_{\mathcal{Y}} = 0\,. \tag{51}$$

Proposition 4.

i) *When $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ and $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ are fixed, and when $f' : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{Y}'$ varies, the classes $\theta_{\mathcal{Y}'}$ are the components of a unique current $\theta \in \widetilde{D}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

ii) *The following identity holds in $\mathrm{CH}(\mathcal{Y}')_{\mathbb{Q}}$:*

$$i_*\, \theta_{\mathcal{Y}'} = f'_*\, \pi^*(\mathrm{ch}(E_{\mathcal{X}})\, \mathrm{Td}(f)) - p^*\, \mathrm{ch}(Rf_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}})\,. \tag{52}$$

Proof. By a remark made in § 1.2, it follows from (M2) that, to check i), it is enough to prove the following. Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{X}'' & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathcal{X}' & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{X} \\ {\scriptstyle f''}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle f'}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow \\ \mathcal{Y}'' & \xrightarrow[r]{} & \mathcal{Y}' & \xrightarrow[p]{} & \mathcal{Y}\,, \end{array} \tag{53}$$

where ρ and π (resp. r and p) are maps of models of X (resp. Y) and let $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ be a vector bundle on $\mathcal{X}$. Then

$$r_*\,\theta_{\mathcal{Y}''} = \theta_{\mathcal{Y}'}\,, \tag{54}$$

where $\theta_{\mathcal{Y}'}$ is defined using π and p, while $\theta_{\mathcal{Y}''}$ is defined with $\sigma = \pi\rho$ and $s = pr$.

Let $K_{\mathcal{Y}''}$ be the cone of the map

$$Ls^*\,Rf_*\,E_{\mathcal{X}} \to Rf''_*\,L\sigma^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}}.$$

Note that

$$Rr_*\,Ls^* = Rr_*\,Lr^*\,Lp^* = Lp^*\,.$$

Indeed, we know from Proposition 3 i) that $Rr^*\,Lr^* = \mathrm{id}$. Therefore

$$Rr_*\,Ls^*\,Rf_*\,E_{\mathcal{X}} = Lp^*\,Rf_*\,E_{\mathcal{X}}\,. \tag{55}$$

Similarly $R\rho_*\,L\rho^* = \mathrm{id}$, hence

$$Rr_*\,Rf''_*\,L\sigma^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}} = Rf'_*\,R\rho_*\,L\sigma^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}} = Rf'_*\,L\pi^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}}\,. \tag{56}$$

From (55) and (56) it follows that

$$Rr_*\,K_{\mathcal{Y}''} = K_{\mathcal{Y}'}$$

and the Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck theorem with supports ([Fu] Th. 18.2) implies that, since $\mathrm{Td}(s) = \mathrm{Td}(r)\,r^*\,\mathrm{Td}(p)$,

$$r_*(\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}''_0}(K_{\mathcal{Y}''})\,\mathrm{Td}(s)) = \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}'_0}(K_{\mathcal{Y}'})\,\mathrm{Td}(p)\,. \tag{57}$$

Let us look at the other summands of $\theta_{\mathcal{Y}''}$ (see (50)). If we apply r_* to the identity

$$\mathrm{Td}_0(s) = \mathrm{Td}_0(r)\,r^*\,\mathrm{Td}(p) + r^*\,\mathrm{Td}_0(p)$$

(see (46)) we obtain, by Proposition 3 ii) for r,

$$r_*\,\mathrm{Td}_0(s) = \mathrm{Td}_0(p)\,.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &r_*(s^*(\mathrm{ch}\,Rf_*\,E_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}_0(s)) \\ &= p^*\,\mathrm{ch}(Rf_*\,E_{\mathcal{X}})\,r_*\,\mathrm{Td}_0(s) \\ &= p^*\,\mathrm{ch}(Rf_*\,E_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}_0(p)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Similarly $r_*\, f''_* = f'_*\, \rho_*$ and $\rho_*\, \mathrm{Td}_0(\sigma) = \mathrm{Td}_0(\pi)$, therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
(59) \qquad & r_*\, f''_*(\sigma^*(\mathrm{ch}(E_{\mathcal{X}})\, \mathrm{Td}(f))\, \mathrm{Td}_0(\sigma)) \\
&= f'_*\, \rho_*(\sigma^*(\mathrm{ch}(E_{\mathcal{X}})\, \mathrm{Td}(f))\, \mathrm{Td}_0(\sigma)) \\
&= f'_*(\pi^*(\mathrm{ch}(E_{\mathcal{X}})\, \mathrm{Td}(f))\, \pi_*\, \mathrm{Td}_0(\sigma)) \\
&= f'_*(\pi^*(\mathrm{ch}(E_{\mathcal{X}})\, \mathrm{Td}(f))\, \mathrm{Td}_0(\pi))\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

From (57), (58) and (59) we conclude that $r_*\, \theta_{\mathcal{Y}''} = \theta_{\mathcal{Y}'}$, as was to be shown.

To prove ii) we use (17) and (50) to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(60) \qquad i_*\, \theta_{\mathcal{Y}'} &= (\mathrm{ch}(f'_*\, \pi^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}) - \mathrm{ch}(p^*\, f_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}))\, \mathrm{Td}(p) \\
&\quad + p^*(\mathrm{ch}(f_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}))\, (\mathrm{Td}(p) - 1) \\
&\quad - f'_*[\pi^*(\mathrm{ch}(E_{\mathcal{X}})\, \mathrm{Td}(f))\, (\mathrm{Td}(\pi) - 1)] \\
&= \mathrm{ch}(f'_*\, \pi^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}})\, \mathrm{Td}(p) \\
&\quad - p^*\, \mathrm{ch}(f_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}) \\
&\quad + f'_*\, \pi^*(\mathrm{ch}(E_{\mathcal{X}})\, \mathrm{Td}(f)) \\
&\quad - f'_*[\pi^*(\mathrm{ch}(E_{\mathcal{X}})\, \mathrm{Td}(f))\, \mathrm{Td}(\pi)]\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we wrote f_* instead of Rf_*, p^* instead of Lp^* etc. The relative Todd class of $f \circ \pi = p \circ f'$ is

$$
\pi^*(\mathrm{Td}(f))\, \mathrm{Td}(\pi) = \mathrm{Td}(f')\, f'^*\, (\mathrm{Td}(p))\,,
$$

therefore the Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck theorem, when applied to f', gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
(61) \qquad & f'_*[\pi^*(\mathrm{ch}(E_{\mathcal{X}})\, \mathrm{Td}(f))\, \mathrm{Td}(\pi)] \\
&= f'_*[\pi^*(\mathrm{ch}(E_{\mathcal{X}}))\, \mathrm{Td}(f')\, f'^*\, (\mathrm{Td}(p))] \\
&= \mathrm{ch}(f'_*\, \pi^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}})\, \mathrm{Td}(p)\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining (60) and (61) we get (52). q.e.d.

Let $\overline{E}$ (resp. $\overline{R\varphi_*\, E}$) be the bundle E (resp. $R\varphi_*\, E$) equipped with the metric defined by $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ (resp. $Rf_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}$).

Corollary 2. *The following identity of currents hold in* $D_{\mathrm{closed}}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$*:*

$$
(62) \qquad dd^c\, \theta = \varphi_*(\mathrm{ch}(\overline{E})\, \mathrm{Td}(f)) - \mathrm{ch}(\overline{R\varphi_*\, E})\,.
$$

This corollary indicates that θ plays the role of the *higher analytic torsion* in Arakelov geometry ([GS3] or [BK]). Note that, when f is flat, it follows from (), Prop. 1 i) and [BGS] Th. 4.1.1, that θ lies in $\widetilde{A}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

4.2. Theorem 1. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a map of varieties. Choose a metric h_f on the tangent complex Tf. There exists a unique direct image morphism*

$$f_* : \overset{\vee}{K}_0(X) \to \overset{\vee}{K}_0(Y)$$

such that

i) When $x = \alpha(\eta)$ with $\eta \in \widetilde{D}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, the following formula holds:

$$f_*(\alpha(\eta)) = \alpha(f_*(\eta \,\mathrm{Td}(\overline{Tf}))) \,. \tag{63}$$

ii) *Assume there is a map of models*

$$\underline{f} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$$

such that h_f is defined by $T\underline{f}$, and that $x \in \overset{\vee}{K}_0(X)$ is the class of $(E, E_{\mathcal{X}}, 0)$, where $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a bundle on $\mathcal{X}$ with restriction E to X. Let θ be the current defined in Proposition 4, i). Then $f_*(x)$ is the class of $(Rf_*\,E, R\underline{f}_*\,E_{\mathcal{X}}, \theta)$ in $\overset{\vee}{K}_0(Y)$.

iii) *Suppose we choose two different metrics h_f and h'_f on Tf and let f_*, f'_* be the corresponding direct image morphisms. Then, for any $x \in \overset{\vee}{K}_0(X)$, the following identity holds in $\overset{\vee}{K}_0(Y)$:*

$$f_*(x) - f'_*(x) = \alpha(f_*(\mathrm{ch}(x)\,\widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(h_f, h'_f)) \,. \tag{64}$$

Furthermore, for any x in $\overset{\vee}{K}_0(X)$ the following Riemann-Roch identity holds:

$$\overset{\vee}{\mathrm{ch}}(f_*(x)) = f_*(\overset{\vee}{\mathrm{ch}}(x)\,\widehat{\mathrm{Td}}(\overline{Tf})) \,. \tag{65}$$

4.3. To prove uniqueness in Theorem 1, first notice that the identity (63) fixes f_* on the image of α.

Next, if h and h' are two metrics on a vector bundle E over X, the relation (37) in $\overset{\vee}{K}_0(X)$ together with (63) imply that if x is the class of $(E, h, 0)$ in $\overset{\vee}{K}_0(X)$ and x' is the class of $(E, h', 0)$, we must have

$$f_*(x) - f_*(x') = \alpha(f_*(\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}(h, h')\,\mathrm{Td}(\overline{Tf}))) \,. \tag{66}$$

On the other hand, given any map $f : X \to Y$ of varieties and any vector bundle E on X, we may find a map of models $\underline{f} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ inducing f on X, and a bundle $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ on $\mathcal{X}$

inducing E on X [RG]. If Tf is equipped with the metric defined by $T\underline{f}$, Theorem ii) will then specify the value of $f_*(x)$, where x is the class of $(E, E_{\mathcal{X}}, 0)$. This, together with (63) and the anomaly formulae (64) and (66), proves the uniqueness of f_*.

To prove the existence of f_* we have to show that the formula (63) and ii) are consistent with the anomaly formulae (64) and (66). This boils down to the following two facts. First, let (53) be the diagram considered in the proof of Proposition 4, and let $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ be a vector bundle on $\mathcal{X}$. Let $x \in \overset{\vee}{K}_0(X)$ be the class of $(E, E_{\mathcal{X}}, 0)$ and let $\varphi : X \to Y$ be the map of varieties induced by f, f' and f''. We want to compare the direct images of x when the metric on $T\varphi$ is defined by Tf or Tf'. Let θ be the current defined by $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ and the metric Tf, and θ' the current defined by $\pi^* E_{\mathcal{X}}$ and Tf' (Proposition 4). Let h_0 be the metric $Rf_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}$ on $R\varphi_*\, E$ and h_1 be the metric $Rf'_*\, L\pi^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}$ on the same complex. Combining (37) and (64), the identity

$$\theta - \theta' + \widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}(h_0, h_1) = \varphi_*(\mathrm{ch}(x)\, \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(Tf, Tf'))$$

must be true, at least after applying α to it. To prove this equality, writing K for the cone of $Lp^*\, Rf_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}} \to Rf'_*\, L\pi^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}$, all we need to check is the following identity in $\mathrm{CH}_\bullet(\mathcal{Y}''_0)_{\mathbb{Q}}$:

$$\theta_{\mathcal{Y}''} - \theta'_{\mathcal{Y}''} - r^*\, \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}''_0}(K) = f''_*(\mathrm{ch}(\sigma^*\, E_{\mathcal{X}})\, \rho^*\, \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(f', f)_{\mathcal{Y}'_0})\,. \tag{67}$$

Conversely, by the cofinality axiom (M2), this identity will allow us to define $f_*(x)$ for any choice of metrics on Tf, and (64) will hold always.

Second, to check that f_* defines a map on $\overset{\vee}{K}_0(X)$, consider the diagram (48) in 4.1, let $S_{\mathcal{X}}$, $E_{\mathcal{X}}$, $Q_{\mathcal{X}}$ be bundles on $\mathcal{X}$, which restrict to S, E, Q respectively on X. Assume there is a complex

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}} : 0 \to S_{\mathcal{X}} \to E_{\mathcal{X}} \to Q_{\mathcal{X}} \to 0$$

on $\mathcal{X}$ which restricts to an exact complex on X. Consider the associated Chern character with supports

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}}) \in \mathrm{CH}_\bullet(\mathcal{X}_0)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

(Proposition 1), with image $\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}(\overline{\mathcal{E}})$ in $\widetilde{A}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let also $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}_0}(Rf_*\, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}}) \in \mathrm{CH}_\bullet(\mathcal{Y}_0)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the Chern character with supports in $\mathcal{Y}_0$ of the triangle

$$Rf_*\, S_{\mathcal{X}} \to Rf_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}} \to Rf_*\, Q_{\mathcal{X}} \to Rf_*\, S_{\mathcal{X}}[1]$$

(§ 2.4). Finally, let $\theta_{\mathcal{Y}'}(E_{\mathcal{X}})$, $\theta_{\mathcal{Y}'}(S_{\mathcal{X}})$ and $\theta_{\mathcal{Y}'}(Q_{\mathcal{X}})$ be defined as in (50) from $E_{\mathcal{X}}$, $S_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $Q_{\mathcal{X}}$ respectively. From (37) and (63) we must have

$$\theta_{\mathcal{Y}'}(E_{\mathcal{X}}) - \theta_{\mathcal{Y}'}(S_{\mathcal{X}}) - \theta_{\mathcal{Y}'}(Q_{\mathcal{X}}) + p^*\, \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}_0}(Rf_*\, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}}) = f'_*\, \pi^*(\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}})\, \mathrm{Td}(Tf))\,. \tag{68}$$

Conversely, (67) and (68) will show that $f_* : \overset{\vee}{K}_0(X) \to \overset{\vee}{K}_0(Y)$ exists, satisfying i), ii) and iii) in Theorem 1. After that, to check (65), we are reduced to the situation considered in ii). For any diagram (48) as in 4.1, the element $\overset{\vee}{\mathrm{ch}}(\rho_*\, x)$ is then the projective system $(p^*\,\mathrm{ch}(Rf_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}) + i_*\,\theta_{\mathcal{Y}'})$ in $\varinjlim \mathrm{CH}^\bullet(\mathcal{Y}')_{\mathbb{Q}}$. From Proposition 4, ii) we get

$$p^*\,\mathrm{ch}(Rf_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}) + i_*\,\theta_{\mathcal{Y}'} = f'_*\,\pi^*(\mathrm{ch}(E_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}(f))\,,$$

which is precisely the $\mathcal{Y}'$-component of

$$\varphi_*(\overset{\vee}{\mathrm{ch}}(x)\,\widehat{\mathrm{Td}}(\overline{T\varphi}))\,.$$

We are thus left with checking (67) and (68).

4.4. Let us now check the equality (67). By the definition (), if K'' is the cone of

$$Ls^*\,Rf_*\,E_{\mathcal{X}} \to Rf''_*\,L\sigma^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}}\,, \tag{69}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{\mathcal{Y}''} = {} & \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}''_0}(K)\,\mathrm{Td}(s) \\ & + s^*(\mathrm{ch}(Rf_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}}))\,\mathrm{Td}_0(s) \\ & - f''_*[\sigma^*(\mathrm{ch}(E_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}(f))\,\mathrm{Td}_0(\sigma)]\,,\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

and if K' is the cone of

$$Lr^*\,Rf'_*\,\pi^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}} \to Rf''_*\,L\rho^*\,(\pi^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}})$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta'_{\mathcal{Y}''} = {} & \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}''_0}(K')\,\mathrm{Td}(r) \\ & + r^*(\mathrm{ch}(Rf'_*(\pi^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}})))\,\mathrm{Td}_0(r) \\ & - f''_*[\rho^*(\mathrm{ch}(\pi^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}(f'))\,\mathrm{Td}_0(\rho)]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Since $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ is locally free we have

$$L\rho^*(\pi^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}}) = \rho^*\,\pi^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}} = \sigma^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}} = L\sigma^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}}\,.$$

Therefore the map (69) factors via $Lr^*\,Rf'_*(\pi^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}})$ and we get a triangle in $D^b_{\mathcal{Y}''_0}(\mathcal{Y}'')$

$$Lr^*(K) \to K'' \to K \to Lr^*(K)[1]\,.$$

By (15) this implies

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}_0''}(K'') = r^*\,\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}_0'}(K) + \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}_0''}(K')\,. \tag{72}$$

Since $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}_0''}(K'')$ is supported on $\mathcal{Y}_0''$ we have (by (17))

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}_0''}(K'')\,\mathrm{Td}(s) = \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}_0''}(K'')\,\mathrm{Td}_0(s) + \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}_0''}(K'')\,. \tag{73}$$

Similarly

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}_0''}(K')\,\mathrm{Td}(r) = \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}_0''}(K')\,\mathrm{Td}_0(r) + \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}_0''}(K')\,. \tag{74}$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} & s^*(\mathrm{ch}(Rf_*\,E_{\mathcal{X}}))\,\mathrm{Td}_0(s) \\ &= \mathrm{ch}(Ls^*\,Rf_*\,E_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}_0(s) \\ &= \mathrm{ch}(Rf_*''\,L\sigma^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}_0(s) \\ &- \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}_0''}(K'')\,\mathrm{Td}_0(s) \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & r^*(\mathrm{ch}(Rf_*'(\pi^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}})))\,\mathrm{Td}_0(r) \\ &= \mathrm{ch}(Rf_*''\,L\sigma^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}_0(r) \\ &- \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}_0''}(K')\,\mathrm{Td}_0(r)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

From (72)–(76) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta_{\mathcal{Y}''} - \theta'_{\mathcal{Y}''} - r^*\,\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}_0''}(K) \\ &= \mathrm{ch}(Rf_*''\,L\sigma^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}_0(r) \\ &- f_*''[\sigma^*(\mathrm{ch}(E_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}(f))\,\mathrm{Td}_0(\sigma)] \\ &- \mathrm{ch}(Rf_*''\,L\sigma^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}_0(r) \\ &+ f_*''[\rho^*(\mathrm{ch}(\pi^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}(f'))\,\mathrm{Td}_0(\rho)]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

Applying the Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck formula to f'' and $\sigma^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}}$, we get from (77) that

$$\theta_{\mathcal{Y}''} - \theta'_{\mathcal{Y}''} - r^*\,\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}_0''}(K) = f_*''(\mathrm{ch}(\sigma^*\,E_{\mathcal{X}})\cdot A) \tag{78}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A := {} & \mathrm{Td}(f'')\,f''^*\,(\mathrm{Td}_0(r) - \mathrm{Td}_0(s)) \\ & - \sigma^*(\mathrm{Td}(f))\,\mathrm{Td}_0(\sigma) \\ & + \rho^*(\mathrm{Td}(f'))\,\mathrm{Td}_0(\rho)\,. \end{aligned}$$

To compute A, we use the identities (44) and (45) from §3.1 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Td}(f'')\, f''^{*}\,\mathrm{Td}_0(r) &= \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(rf'', f'')\,,\\
\mathrm{Td}(f'')\, f''^{*}\,\mathrm{Td}_0(s) &= \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(sf'', f'')\,,\\
\sigma^{*}(\mathrm{Td}(f))\,\mathrm{Td}_0(\sigma) &= \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(f\sigma, f)\,,\\
\rho^{*}(\mathrm{Td}(f'))\,\mathrm{Td}_0(\rho) &= \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(f'\rho, f')\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $sf'' = f\sigma$ and $rf'' = f'\rho$, we get from this and (28)

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(sf'', f'') - \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(rf'', f'')\\
&\quad - \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(f\sigma, f) + \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(f'\rho, f')\\
&= \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(f'', f) - \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(f'', f') = \rho^{*}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(f', f)_{\mathcal{Y}'_0}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

From (78) and (79) the equality (67) follows.

4.5. To check (68) in § 4.3 we let $K(E_{\mathcal{X}})$ be the cone of

$$Lp^{*}\,Rf_{*}\,E_{\mathcal{X}} \to Rf'_{*}\,L\pi^{*}\,E_{\mathcal{X}}$$

and we define $K(S_{\mathcal{X}})$ and $K(Q_{\mathcal{X}})$ similarly. Since these maps fit in a morphism of triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
Lp^{*}\,Rf_{*}\,S_{\mathcal{X}} & \longrightarrow & Lp^{*}\,Rf_{*}\,E_{\mathcal{X}} & \longrightarrow & Lp^{*}\,Rf_{*}\,Q_{\mathcal{X}} & \longrightarrow & Lp^{*}\,Rf_{*}\,S_{\mathcal{X}}[1]\\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow\\
Rf'_{*}\,L\pi^{*}\,S_{\mathcal{X}} & \longrightarrow & Rf'_{*}\,L\pi^{*}\,E_{\mathcal{X}} & \longrightarrow & Rf'_{*}\,L\pi^{*}\,Q_{\mathcal{X}} & \longrightarrow & Rf'_{*}\,L\pi^{*}\,S_{\mathcal{X}}[1]\,,
\end{array}$$

where all lines and columns are acyclic on Y, we get from (30) in Lemma 1 i) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}'_0}(K(E_{\mathcal{X}})) - \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}'_0}(K(S_{\mathcal{X}})) - \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}'_0}(K(Q_{\mathcal{X}}))\\
&= \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}'_0}(Rf'_{*}\,L\pi^{*}\,\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}}) - \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}'_0}(Lp^{*}\,Rf_{*}\,\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}})\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

where $Rf'_{*}\,L\pi^{*}\,\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is the upper triangle and

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}'_0}(Lp^{*}\,Rf_{*}\,\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}}) = p^{*}\,\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}_0}(Rf_{*}\,\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}})\,. \tag{81}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&p^{*}\,[\mathrm{ch}(Rf_{*}\,E_{\mathcal{X}}) - \mathrm{ch}(Rf_{*}\,S_{\mathcal{X}}) - \mathrm{ch}(Rf_{*}\,Q_{\mathcal{X}})]\,\mathrm{Td}_0(p)\\
&= p^{*}\,\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}_0}(Rf_{*}\,\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}_0(p)\\
&= p^{*}\,\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}_0}(Rf_{*}\,\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}(p) - p^{*}\,\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}_0}(Rf_{*}\,\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}})\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

Since $f\pi = pf'$ we have

$$\pi^*(\mathrm{Td}(f))\,\mathrm{Td}(\pi) = \mathrm{Td}(f')\,f'^*(\mathrm{Td}(p))\,,$$

and this implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
(83)\qquad & f'_*[\pi^*((\mathrm{ch}(E_{\mathcal{X}}) - \mathrm{ch}(S_{\mathcal{X}}) - \mathrm{ch}(Q_{\mathcal{X}}))\,\mathrm{Td}(f))\,\mathrm{Td}_0(\pi)] \\
&= f'_*[\pi^*(\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}(f))\,\mathrm{Td}_0(\pi)] \\
&= f'_*[\pi^*(\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}})\,\pi^*\,\mathrm{Td}(f)\,\mathrm{Td}(\pi)] \\
&\quad - f'_*\,\pi^*(\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}(f)) \\
&= f'_*[\pi^*(\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}}))\,\mathrm{Td}(f')\,f'^*\,\mathrm{Td}(p)] \\
&\quad - f'_*\,\pi^*(\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}(f)) \\
&= \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}'_0}(Rf'_*\,L\pi^*\,\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}(p) \\
&\quad - f'_*\,\pi^*(\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}(f))\,,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the projection formula for f' together with the Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck formula with supports. Putting (50), (80), (81), (82) and (83) together, we get

$$\theta_{\mathcal{Y}'}(E_{\mathcal{X}}) - \theta_{\mathcal{Y}'}(S_{\mathcal{X}}) - \theta_{\mathcal{Y}'}(Q_{\mathcal{X}}) + p^*\,\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}_0}(Rf_*\,\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}}) = f'_*\,\pi^*(\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}})\,\mathrm{Td}(f))\,,$$

i.e. (68) holds true. This ends the proof of Theorem 1.

4.6. Here are a few more properties of the direct image morphisms defined in Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a map of varieties, equipped with an arbitrary metric on Tf.*

i) *When $x \in \overset{\vee}{K}_0(X)$ and $y \in \overset{\vee}{K}_0(Y)$, we have*

$$f_*(xf^*(y)) = f_*(x)y\,.$$

ii) *If f is flat, f_* maps $\widehat{K}_0(X)$ into $\widehat{K}_0(Y)$.*

iii) *Let $g : Y \to Z$ be a map of varieties. Choose arbitrary metrics on Tg and $T(gf)$. Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}$ be the secondary Todd class of the metrized triangle on X*

$$\mathcal{T} : Tf \to T(gf) \to f^*Tg \to Tf[1]\,.$$

Then, for any $x \in \overset{\vee}{K}_0(X)$, the following identity holds:

$$(84)\qquad (gf)_*(x) - g_*(f_*(x)) = -\alpha((gf)_*(\mathrm{ch}(x)\,\widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}))\,.$$

4.7. To check Theorem 2 i) when x or y is in the image of α, we just use the fact that the projection formula is true for forms and currents (§ 1.8 and [BGS] 1.5), together with (63).

We may then assume that x is the class of $(E, h, 0)$ and y is the class of $(F, h', 0)$. By the previous argument, and the anomaly formula (66), the difference

$$z = f_*(x\, f^*(y)) - f_*(x)\, y$$

does not depend on the choice of the metrics h and h'. Furthermore, if we change the metric on Tf and if we denote by $\widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}$ the corresponding secondary Todd class, it follows from Theorem 1, iii) that z gets replaced by

$$z + \alpha\, f_*(\mathrm{ch}(x\, f^*(y))\, \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}) - \alpha(f^*(\mathrm{ch}(x)\, \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}})) \cdot y\,.$$

This is equal to z since, by (39),

$$\alpha(f_*(\mathrm{ch}(x)\, \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}})) \cdot y = \alpha(f_*(\mathrm{ch}(x)\, \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}})\, \mathrm{ch}(y)) = \alpha\, f_*(\mathrm{ch}(x\, f^*(y))\, \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}})\,.$$

Consequently we may assume that there is a map of models $\underline{f} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ inducing f on X and defining the metric on Tf, and that the metric on E (resp. F) is defined by a bundle $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ (resp. $F_{\mathcal{Y}}$) on $\mathcal{X}$ (resp. $\mathcal{Y}$). Since $Rf_*(E_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes f^*\, F_{\mathcal{Y}})$ is isomorphic to $Rf_*\, E_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes F_{\mathcal{Y}}$ we know that z can be written

$$z = \alpha(\eta)\,,\ \eta \in \widetilde{D}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}\,.$$

On the other hand, the Riemann-Roch formula (65) in Theorem 1, together with the projection formula for arithmetic Chow groups (§ 1.8) imply that $\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}(z) = 0$. Therefore

$$dd^c\, \eta = \omega(\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}(z)) = 0\,.$$

By Proposition 1, ii), if $\eta_{\mathcal{Y}}$ vanishes we can conclude that $\eta = 0$ and $z = 0$. But the analytic torsion $\theta_{\mathcal{Y}}$ for both $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $E_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes f^*\, F_{\mathcal{Y}}$ are zero by (51). Therefore $\eta_{\mathcal{Y}} = 0$.

4.8. Assume f is flat and $x \in \widehat{K}_0(Y)$. Since $\mathrm{ch}(x)\, \mathrm{Td}(\overline{Tf})$ lies in $A_{\mathrm{closed}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and f_* maps forms into forms (§ 1.7, i.e. [BGS] (4.1.1) and (4.2.1)) $f_*(\mathrm{ch}(x)\, \mathrm{Td}(\overline{Tf}))$ lies in $A_{\mathrm{closed}}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. But it follows from the Riemann-Roch formula (65) that

$$f_*(\mathrm{ch}(x)\, \mathrm{Td}(\overline{Tf})) = \mathrm{ch}(f_*(x))\,.$$

Therefore $f_*(x)$ lies in $\widehat{K}_0(Y)$ (see 2.5).

4.9. The proof of Theorem 2, iii) is similar to Th. 2, i). Namely, let

$$z = (gf)_*\,(x) - g_*(f_*(x)) + \alpha((gf)_*\,(\mathrm{ch}(x)\, \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}))\,.$$

When $x = \alpha(\eta)$, it follows from (63) that $z = 0$. Indeed, since, by (25) and (43),

$$\mathrm{Td}(\overline{T(gf)}) = \mathrm{Td}(\overline{Tf})\, f^*\,\mathrm{Td}(\overline{Tg}) - dd^c(\widetilde{\mathrm{Td}})\,,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}(gf)_*\,(x) &= \alpha(g_*(f_*(\eta\,\mathrm{Td}(\overline{Tf}))\,\mathrm{Td}(\overline{Tg})) \\ &\quad - \alpha((gf)_*\,(\eta\,dd^c(\widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}))) \\ &= g_*\,f_*(x) - (gf)_*\,(\alpha(\eta\,dd^c(\widetilde{\mathrm{Td}})))\end{aligned}$$

and $z = 0$ by the "Stokes formula"

$$\eta\,dd^c(\omega) = dd^c(\eta)\,\omega\,.$$

Therefore it is enough to check that $z = 0$ when x is the class of $(E, E_{\mathcal{X}}, 0)$ for some extension of E to a model of X. When the metric h_f on Tf is replaced by $h_{f'}$, from Theorem 1, iii) and (63) we know that z gets replaced by

$$z' = z - \alpha g_*[f_*(\mathrm{ch}(x)\,\widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(h_f, h'_f))\,\mathrm{Td}(\overline{Tg})] - \alpha(gf)_*[\mathrm{ch}(x)(\widetilde{\mathrm{Td}} - \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}')]\,,$$

where $\widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}'$) are the secondary Todd classes of the triangle $\mathcal{T}$, where the metric on Tf is h_f (resp. h'_f), and we do not change the metrics on $T(gf)$ and f^*Tg. From Lemma 1 ii) we know that

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Td}} - \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}' = \widetilde{\mathrm{Td}}(h_f, h'_f)\, f^*\,\mathrm{Td}(\overline{Tg})\,,$$

therefore $z' = z$.

One checks in a similar way (by shifting (20)) that z does not depend on the metrics on Tg and $T(gf)$. Finally one may assume that there are maps of models $\underline{f} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ and $\underline{g} : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Z}$ which induce f and g, that the metric on E is given by a bundle $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ on $\mathcal{X}$, and that the metrics on Tf (resp. Tg, resp. $T(gf)$) is given by $T\underline{f}$ (resp. $T\underline{g}$, resp. $T(\underline{gf})$). The exact triangle

$$T\underline{f} \to T(\underline{gf}) \to \underline{f}^*\,T\underline{g} \to T\underline{f}[1]$$

implies in that case that $\widetilde{\mathrm{Td}} = 0$. Since $R\underline{f}_*\,R\underline{g}_* = R(\underline{fg})_*$ we can write $z = \alpha(\eta)$, $\eta \in \widetilde{D}(Z)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and from Riemann-Roch we deduce that

$$dd^c(\eta) = \mathrm{ch}(z) = (gf)_*\,(\mathrm{ch}(x)\,\mathrm{Td}(\overline{T(gf)})) - g_*(f_*(\mathrm{ch}(x)\,\mathrm{Td}(\overline{Tf}))\,\mathrm{Td}(\overline{Tg})) = 0\,.$$

To check that $\eta = 0$ (hence $z = 0$) it is enough that $\eta_{\mathcal{Z}} = 0$. But this follows from (51) applied to the maps $\underline{f}$, $\underline{g}$ and $\underline{gf}$. This ends the proof of Theorem 2.

References

[AKMW] D. Abramovich, K. Karu, K. Matsuki, J. Wlodarczyk : Torification and factorization of birational maps, preprint, 1999, **math.AG/9904135**.

[BFM] P. Baum, W. Fulton, R. MacPherson : Riemann-Roch for Singular Varieties *Pub. Math. I.H.E.S.* **45**, 1975, 253–290.

[BK] J.-M. Bismut, K. Koehler: Higher analytic torsion forms for direct images and anomaly formulas, *J. Algebr. Geom.* **1** No.4, 1992, 647-684.

[BGS] S. Bloch, H. Gillet, C. Soulé : Non-archimedean Arakelov theory, *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, **4**, 1995, 427-485.

[B] J. Burgos: Arithmetic Chow rings and Deligne-Beilinson cohomology, *J. Algebr. Geom.* **6** No.2, 1997, 335-377.

[F] W. Fulton : Intersection theory, *Ergebnisse der Math.* **3**, Folge 2 Band 2, 1984, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York.

[Fr] J. Franke : Riemann-Roch in functorial form, preprint, 1992, 78 pp.

[GS1] H.Gillet, C.Soulé : Arithmetic Intersection Theory, *Publications Math. IHES* **72**, 1990, 94-174.

[GS2] H.Gillet, C.Soulé : Characteristic classes for algebraic vector bundles with hermitian metric, *Annals of Maths.* **131**, 1990, 163-203.

[GS3] H.Gillet, C.Soulé : Analytic torsion and the Arithmetic Todd genus, *Topology* **30**, 1 ,1991, 21-54.

[GS4] H.Gillet, C.Soulé : An arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem, *Inventiones Math.* **110**, 1992, 474-543.

[H] H. Hironaka: Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero , *Annals of Math.* **79**, 1964 , 109–326.

[M] H. Matsumura: Commutative ring theory. Transl. from the Japanese by M. Reid, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, **8**, Cambridge University Press, 1989.

[Q] D. Quillen: Determinants of Cauchy–Riemann operators over a Riemann surface, *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 1985, 31-34.

[RG] M. Raynaud, L. Gruson: Critères de platitude et de projectivité *Inv. Math.* **13** , 1971, 1–89.

[S] T. Saito: Conductor, discriminant, and the Noether formula of arithmetic surfaces *Duke Math. Journal* **57** , 1988, 151–173.

[SGA4] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, J.L. Verdier, P. Deligne, B. Saint-Donat: Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963-1964, Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale

des schémas ,SGA 4, Tome 3, Exposés IX a XIX. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **305**, 1973, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag.

[SGA6] P. Berthelot, A. Grothendieck, L. Illusie : Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois Marie 1966/67, SGA 6, Théorie des intersections et théorème de Riemann-Roch ,*Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **225**, 1971, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag.

[W] J. Wlodarczyk : Combinatorial structures on toroidal varieties and a proof of the weak factorization theorem preprint, 1999, **math.AG/9904076**.

[Z] J. Zha : A general Arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem, PHD thesis, Chicago University, 1998.

H.G.: Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science, University of Illinois at Chicago, 851 S. Morgan Street, Chicago, IL 60607-7045, U.S.A.

C.S. : CNRS, Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, 35, Route de Chartres, 91440, Bures-sur-Yvette, France